1 NANCY MARVEL Regional Counsel 2 United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 3 RICH CAMPBELL Assistant Regional Counsel 4 United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 972-3870 6 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 9

2008 SEP 15 PM 1:49

U.S. EPA. REGION IX REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION 9** 

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NO. SDWA-09-2008-0001

Arizona Windsong Water Company,

Respondent.

**COMPLAINT AND** NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

PWS ID#AZ0401009

Proceedings under Section 1414(g) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, 42 U.S.C. § 300g-3(g)

17

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

## **COMPLAINT**

This civil administrative Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing ("Complaint") is issued to the Arizona Windsong Water Company ("Respondent") pursuant to the authority vested in the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") by Section 1414(g)(3) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended ("SDWA"), 42 U.S.C. § 300g-3(g)(3). The Administrator has delegated the authority to issue this Complaint to the Regional Administrator for EPA Region 9, who in turn has delegated the authority to the Director of the Water Division for EPA Region 9, hereinafter referred to as "Complainant."

This proceeding is subject to EPA's "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation or Suspension of Permits," 40 C.F.R. Part 22 ("Consolidated Rules of Practice") (Exhibit 1).

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

Each allegation in this Complaint has applied at all relevant times unless otherwise stated.

- 1. Respondent Arizona Windsong Water Company is an Arizona corporation and therefore a "person" as that term is defined in Section 1401(12) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300f(12), and 40 C.F.R. § 141.2.
- 2. The Respondent owns and/or operates a water system known to EPA as the Arizona Windsong Water Company water system (the "System") located in Apache County, Arizona, for the provision to the public of piped water for human consumption.
- 3. The System provides water for human consumption to the public through pipes to at least 76 service connections that regularly serve approximately 380 year-round residents, and is a "public water system" as defined by Section 1401(4) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300f(4), and 40 C.F.R. § 141.2.
- 4. The System is a public water system that serves at least 15 service connections used by year-round residents of the area served by the system, and regularly serves at least 25 year-round residents, and is therefore a "community water system" as defined by Section 1401(15) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300f(15), and 40 C.F.R. § 141.2.
- 5. The System serves 3,300 persons or fewer and is a "small water system" as defined by 40 C.F.R. § 141.2, for the purpose of the Lead and Copper Rule at 40 C.F.R. Part 141, Subpart I.
- 6. As the owner and/or operator of the System, Respondent is a "supplier of water" as defined in Section 1401(5) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300f(5), and 40 C.F.R. § 141.2. Respondent is therefore subject to the requirements of Part B of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 300g *et*

seq., and its implementing regulations at 40 C.F.R. Part 141 (also known as the "National Primary Drinking Water Regulations" or "NPDWRs").

- 7. The source of the System's water is a ground water well.
- 8. On March 25, 2005, EPA issued an Administrative Order (the "Order"), Docket No. PWS-AO-2005-002, to the Respondent pursuant to Section 1414(g) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300g-3(g), citing violations of the SDWA's NPDWRs. (**Exhibit 2**.) The effective date of the Order was March 30, 2005. The Order required the Respondent, among other things, to achieve compliance with certain SDWA regulations, in accordance with specified deadlines.
- 9. By letter dated April 10, 2007, EPA notified the Respondents that they were in violation of the Order. (Exhibit 3.)

## SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Paragraphs 1 through 9 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 11. Following EPA's issuance of the March 25, 2005 Order, Respondent failed to comply with the requirements of the Order as follows:

# Count 1: Failure to conduct total coliform monitoring.

- 12. The Order (pgs. 6-7, paragraph 41) required Respondent to commence monitoring for total coliforms on a monthly basis in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 141.21(a) within ten days of the effective date of the Order.
- 13. After consulting with the State, neither the State nor EPA has received any data from Respondent indicating Respondent commenced monthly monitoring of total coliform within ten days of the Order's effective date on March 30, 2005 (i.e., by April 10, 2005), or any time thereafter, in violation of the Order (pgs. 6-7, paragraph 41).

15. After consulting with the State, neither the State nor EPA has received any data from Respondent indicating that it conducted two consecutive six-month rounds of monitoring for lead and copper by December 10, 2006, or at any time thereafter, in violation of the Order (pgs. 7-8, paragraphs 45-46).

## Count 3. Failure to Report Monitoring Violations.

16. The Order (pg. 8, paragraph 47) required that Respondent comply upon the effective date of the Order, and at all times thereafter with the violation reporting requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 141.31(b) by reporting to EPA and the State of Arizona within 48 hours any failure to comply with the NPDWRs (including monitoring requirements).

17. After consulting with the State, neither the State nor EPA has received any data from Respondent indicating that it complied with the 48-hour reporting requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 141.31(b) after Respondent failed to conduct the monthly total coliform monitoring required by 40 C.F.R. § 141.21(a), and lead and copper monitoring required by 40 C.F.R. § 141.86, after the effective date of the Order on March 30, 2005, in violation of the Order (pg. 8, paragraph 47).

# Count 4: Failure to issue Consumer Confidence Report.

18. The Order (pg. 9, paragraphs 48-49) required Respondent to prepare and issue a single CCR to its water customers July 1, 2005 summarizing drinking water information from 2001 through 2004.

19. After consulting with the State, neither the State nor EPA has received any data from Respondent indicating that it issued a CCR to its water customers by July 1, 2005, or any time

provided the State of Arizona with an opportunity to confer with EPA regarding this Complaint.

In re: Arizona Windsong Water Company Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing

#### PROPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL PENALTY

- 25. Section 1414(g)(3) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300g-3(g)(3), and the Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule, 40 C.F.R. §§ 19.1-19.4, authorizes the Administrator of the EPA to assess an administrative civil penalty, not exceeding \$27,500, against any person who violates, or fails or refuses to comply with, an order issued under section 1414(g)(1) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300g-3(g)(1).
- 26. Pursuant to Section 1414(g)(3)(B) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300g-3(g)(3)(B), and the Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule, 40 C.F.R. §§ 19.1-19.4, and in accordance with the enclosed Consolidated Rules of Practice, EPA hereby requests that a civil penalty of up to \$27,500 be assessed against Respondent for violations of the Order issued pursuant to Section 1414(g)(1) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300g-3(g)(1).
- 27. In accordance with Section 1414 of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300g-3, and 40 C.F.R. § 22.14(a), EPA took into consideration the seriousness of the violations, the population at risk, and other appropriate factors, including the severity of each violation, in its determination of the proposed penalty. In considering these factors, among other things, Complainant evaluated the economic benefit to Respondent in failing to comply with the SDWA, the gravity and severity of each violation (children are particularly susceptible to the adverse health effects of lead and nitrate in drinking water), the service population of the System, the duration of violation, Respondent's history of non-compliance, and other considerations. Respondent ignored repeated requests and orders by ADEQ and EPA to come into compliance with the SDWA and the requirements of the Lead and Copper Rule and the Revised Public Notice Rule, and other SDWA requirements, and has failed to comply with these requirements as of the date of this Complaint. Respondent's history of disregarding requirements of the SDWA and its regulations has provided significant economic benefit to Respondent and placed Respondent's customers at risk of exposure to elevated levels of total coliforms, lead, and copper in their drinking water.

#### PROCEDURES GOVERNING THIS ADMINISTRATIVE LITIGATION

28. The rules of procedure governing this administrative litigation are set forth in the attached Consolidated Rules of Practice, which is codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 22. This proceeding will be conducted in accordance with the Consolidated Rules of Practice, including Subpart I, unless Respondent requests in the Answer a hearing on the record in accordance with Section 554 of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), 5 U.S.C. § 554, in which case Subpart I of the Consolidated Rules of Practice will not apply.

#### ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT

29. If Respondent intends to contest any material fact upon which the Complaint is based, or to contend that the proposed penalty is inappropriate or that Respondent is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, Respondent must file with the Regional Hearing Clerk of EPA Region IX both an original and one copy of a written Answer to the Complaint. 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(a). Such Answer must be filed within thirty days after service of this Complaint. 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(a). The address of the Regional Hearing Clerk of EPA Region IX is:

Danielle Carr Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street (ORC-1) San Francisco, CA 94105

Respondent must also serve a *copy* of the Answer to the Complaint upon EPA, to the person and address listed in Paragraph 43 below, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(a).

30. Respondent's Answer to the Complaint must clearly and directly admit, deny, or explain each of the factual allegations contained in the Complaint with regard to which Respondent has any knowledge. 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(b). Where Respondent has no knowledge of a particular factual allegation and so states in its Answer, the allegation is deemed denied. 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(b). If Respondent fails in its Answer to admit, deny, or explain any material factual

allegation contained in the Complaint, such failure constitutes an admission of the allegation. 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(d).

- 31. The Answer must also state: (1) the circumstances or arguments that are alleged to constitute the grounds of any defense, (2) the facts that Respondent disputes (and thus intends to place at issue in the proceeding), (3) the basis for opposing the proposed relief, and (4) whether Respondent requests a hearing. 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(b).
- 32. Respondent's failure to affirmatively raise facts in the Answer that might constitute the grounds of its defense may preclude Respondent, at a subsequent stage in this proceeding, from raising such facts and/or from having such facts admitted into evidence at a hearing.

# **OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING**

- 33. If requested by Respondent in the Answer, a hearing upon the issues raised by the Complaint and Answer may be held. 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(c). Any hearing in this proceeding will be held at a location determined in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.21(d).
- 34. Respondent has the right to elect a hearing on the record in accordance with Section 554 of the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 554. 40 C.F.R. § 22.42(b). If Respondent does not request such a hearing, then Respondent waives the right to this hearing. <u>Id.</u>
- 35. If Respondent does not request a hearing, the Presiding Officer (as defined in 40 C.F.R. § 22.3) may still hold a hearing if the Answer raises issues appropriate for adjudication. 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(c).

# **FAILURE TO ANSWER**

36. To avoid entry of a Default Order against you pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.17 for a penalty of up to \$27,500 as proposed in this Complaint, Respondent must file a written Answer with the Regional Hearing Clerk at the address above within thirty days of receipt of this Complaint.

Respondent without further proceedings thirty days after the Default Order becomes final pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.27(c). 40 C.F.R. § 22.17(d). If necessary, EPA may then seek to enforce such Default Order against Respondent, and to collect the assessed penalty amount, in federal court.

37. Any penalty assessed in the Default Order will become due and payable by

38. Whether or not Respondent requests a formal hearing, Respondent may request an informal settlement conference to discuss the facts of this case, the proposed penalty, and the possibility of settling this matter. 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b). To request such a settlement conference, please contact:

Rich Campbell
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street (ORC-2)
San Francisco, CA 94105
Phone: (415) 972-3870.

Mr. Campbell is authorized to receive service related to this proceeding.

- 39. The parties may engage in settlement discussions regardless of whether Respondent requests a hearing. 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(1). In other words, even if Respondent requests a formal hearing, Respondent may also request an informal settlement conference and the informal conference procedure may be pursued simultaneously with the formal adjudicatory hearing procedure. A request for an informal settlement conference constitutes neither an admission nor a denial of any of the matters alleged in the Complaint. EPA does not deem a request for an informal settlement conference as a request for a hearing as specified in 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(c).
- 40. Settlement discussions do not affect Respondent's obligation to file a timely Answer to the Complaint pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.15. 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(1). EPA will not modify its proposed penalty simply because an informal settlement conference is held.

- 41. The terms and conditions of any settlement that may be reached as a result of a settlement conference will be recorded in a written Consent Agreement signed by all parties. 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(2). To conclude the proceeding, EPA will execute a Final Order ratifying the parties' Consent Agreement. 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(3). In accepting the Consent Agreement, Respondent waives any right to contest the allegations in the Complaint and waives any right to appeal the Final Order accompanying the Consent Agreement. 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(2).
- 42. Respondent's entering into a settlement does not extinguish, waive, satisfy, or otherwise affect Respondent's obligation to comply with all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements and legal orders.

## **FILING OF DOCUMENTS**

43. Respondent must send the Answer and any Hearing Request, as well as all subsequent documents filed in this action, to:

Danielle Carr Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street (ORC-1) San Francisco, CA 94105

Respondent must send a *copy* of the Answer, a *copy* of any Hearing Request, and a *copy* of all subsequent documents filed in this action, to:

Rich Campbell
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street (ORC-2)
San Francisco, CA 94105

- 44. Respondent has a right to be represented by an attorney at any stage of this proceeding.
- 45. This Complaint does not constitute a waiver, suspension, or modification of the requirements of the SDWA, any regulations promulgated thereunder, or any applicable permit.

46. Neither assessment nor payment of an administrative civil penalty pursuant to section 1414(g)(3)(B) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 300g-3(g)(3)(B), will affect Respondent's continuing obligation to comply with the SDWA, and with regulations promulgated thereunder.

Dated this // that day of September, 2008

Alexis Strauss, Director Water Division

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that the original and one copy of the COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING were hand-carried to the Regional Hearing Clerk, EPA, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California, and that a true copy of the same was delivered as follows:

Via certified mail, return receipt requested, to:

Pat Paulsell

Arizona Windsong Water Company

P.O. Box 261

Sanders, AZ 86512

NAM

e Automation